

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,173	01/31/2002	Hermann Putter	217838US0PCT	6239	
22850 7	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			WONG, EDNA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1753		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•						
	/		Application No.	Appli	cant(s)		
			10/030,173	PUTT	ER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		-	Examin r	Art U	nit		
			Edna Wong	1753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication app	ars on the cover she	t with the correspo	ondenc address		
THE N - Extense after S - If the I - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum set to reply within the set or extended period for reply lepty received by the Office later than three months dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 imunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will by will, by statute, c	(a). In no event, however, r vithin the statutory minimum I apply and will expire SIX (6 ause the application to bec	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be c) MONTHS from the mailin rne ABANDONED (35 U.S	onsidered timely. g date of this communication. i.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>12 No</u>	<u>vember 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐							
	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>15-52</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>29-31,39 and 40</u> is/are allo	owed.			•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15-28,32-34,36-38 and 41</u>	<u>-52</u> is/are rej	ected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>35</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requiremen	t.	•		
Application	on Papers						
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) 🔲 🕇	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) 🗌 accep	oted or b) objecte	d to by the Examin	er.		
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dr	awing(s) be held in at	eyance. See 37 CF	R 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin			·	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Exa	miner. Note the atta	ched Office Action	or form PTO-152.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•			
12) 🖂 🔏	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f).		
	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority	/ documents	have been received	•	•		
:	Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received	in Application No.	·		
;	 Copies of the certified copies 	of the priorit	y documents have t	een received in thi	s National Stage		
	annication from the internation	onal Bureau i					
* S	application from the Internation the application from the Internation action are applied to the application and the application are applied to the application and the application are applied to the applied to			not received			
13)□ Ad	ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim	on for a list of for domestic	the certified copies priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a	provisional application)		
13)∐ A∂ sir	ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included	on for a list of for domestic	the certified copies priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a	provisional application) Application Data Sheet.		
13)□ Ad sir 37	ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included CFR 1.78.	on for a list of for domestic ed in the first	the certified copies priority under 35 U. sentence of the spe	S.C. § 119(e) (to a cification or in an A	provisional application) Application Data Sheet.		
13)∐ Ao sir 37 a)	ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim face a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign la	on for a list of for domestic ed in the first nguage provi	the certified copies priority under 35 U. sentence of the special application h	S.C. § 119(e) (to a edification or in an A as been received.	Application Data Sheet.		
13)□ Ao sir 37 a) 14)□ Ao	ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included CFR 1.78.	on for a list of for domestic ed in the first nguage provi for domestic	the certified copies priority under 35 U. sentence of the special application heriority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a cification or in an A as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or	Application Data Sheet. 121 since a specific		
13)□ Ao sir 37 a) 14)□ Ao ref	ee the attached detailed Office actic cknowledgment is made of a claim nee a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first ser	on for a list of for domestic ed in the first nguage provi for domestic	the certified copies priority under 35 U. sentence of the special application heriority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a cification or in an A as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or	Application Data Sheet. 121 since a specific		
13)□ Ad sir 37 a) 14)□ Ad ref	ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim nace a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first series)	on for a list of for domestic ed in the first nguage provi for domestic	the certified copies priority under 35 U. sentence of the specification has priority under 35 U. specification or in a	S.C. § 119(e) (to a cification or in an A as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or Application Data	Application Data Sheet. 121 since a specific Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
13) ☐ Ad sir 37 a) 14) ☐ Ad ref Attachment(!) ☐ Notice	ee the attached detailed Office actic cknowledgment is made of a claim nee a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first ser	on for a list of for domestic ed in the first nguage provi for domestic ntence of the	the certified copies priority under 35 U. sentence of the specisional application hipriority under 35 U. specification or in a	S.C. § 119(e) (to a scification or in an A as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or n Application Data riew Summary (PTO-41 e of Informal Patent Application Applicati	Application Data Sheet. 121 since a specific Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		

This is in response to the Amendment dated November 12, 2003. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

Claim Objections

Claim 49 has been objected to because of minor informalities:.

The objection of claim 49 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims **15-52** have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of claims 15-52 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

Response to Amendment

Claim Objections

Claims 23, 25, 35, 37, 41, 42, 47 and 49 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 23

line 3, the word -- the -- should be inserted after the word "of".

Claim 25

line 3, it is suggested that the word "starting" be deleted. See claim 15, line 2.

Claim 35

line 3, the word -- the -- should be inserted after the word "of".

Claim 37

line 3, it is suggested that the word "starting" be deleted. See claim 29, line 3.

Claim 41

line 5, the word -- from -- should be inserted after the word "metal" (second occurrence).

line 19, the word "acetylated" should be amended to the word -- acetalated --.

Claim 42

line 8, line 5, the word -- from -- should be inserted after the word "metal" (second occurrence).

line 15, the word "formula" should be amended to the word -- formulae --.

Claim 47

line 3, the word -- the -- should be inserted after the word "of".

Claim 49

line 3, it is suggested that the word "starting" be deleted. See claim 41, line 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

I. Claims **15-28** and **41-52** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 15

line 4, "at least one metal salt (S)" is not supported by the present specification.

Claim 41

line 5, "at least one metal salt (S)" is not supported by the present specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,173 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

Claim 42

line 8, "at least one metal salt (S)" is not supported by the present specification.

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15

line 17, the word "additionally" should be deleted because there are 3 independent definitions for R¹, R², R³:

 R^1 , R^2 , R^3 are <u>(1)</u> each independently hydrogen, C_1 - to C_{20} -alkyl, C_2 - to C_{20} -alkenyl, C_2 - to C_{20} -alkynyl, C_3 - to C_{20} -cycloalkyl, C_4 - to C_{20} -cycloalkyl, alkyl, C_1 - to C_{20} -hydroxyalkyl, or aryl; <u>or</u>

- (2) C_7 to C_{20} -arylalkyl which is unsubstituted or substituted by C_1 to C_8 -alkyl, C_1 to C_8 -alkoxy, halogen, C_1 to C_4 -haloalkyl, phenyl, phenoxy, halophenyl, halophenoxy,
 carboxyl, C_2 to C_8 -alkoxycarbonyl or cyano, <u>or</u>
- (3) R^1 and R^2 together are a C_2 to C_9 --alkandiyl unlit which is unsubstituted, monosubstituted or disubstituted by C_1 to C_8 -alkyl, C_1 to C_8 -alkoxy and/or halogen and in which one or two methyl groups may also be replaced by a (CH=CH) unit and R^3 is additionally an acetalated carbonyl group in which the alkoxy group is derived from an alcohol of the general formula II.

The third definition for R³ is independent from the other 2 definitions, and

Art Unit: 1753

therefore, R³ in definition 3 cannot be "is additionally".

line 36, the word "additionally" should be deleted because there are 3 independent definitions for R^1 , R^2 , R^3 :

 R^1 , R^2 , R^3 are <u>(1)</u> each independently hydrogen, C_1 - to C_{20} -alkyl, C_2 - to C_{20} -alkynyl, C_3 - to C_{20} -cycloalkyl, C_4 - to C_{20} -cycloalkyl, C_1 - to C_{20} -hydroxyalkyl, or aryl; <u>or</u>

(2) C_7 - to C_{20} -arylalkyl which is unsubstituted or substituted by C_1 - to C_8 -alkyl, C_1 to C_8 -alkoxy, halogen, C_1 - to C_4 -haloalkyl, phenyl, phenoxy, halophenyl, halophenoxy,
carboxyl, C_2 - to C_8 -alkoxycarbonyl or cyano, <u>or</u>

(3) R^1 and R^2 together are a C_2 - to C_9 --alkandiyl unlit which is unsubstituted, monosubstituted or disubstituted by C_1 - to C_8 -alkyl, C_1 - to C_8 -alkoxy and/or halogen and in which one or two methyl groups may also be replaced by a (CH=CH) unit and R^3 is additionally an acetalated carbonyl group in which the alkoxy group is derived from an alcohol of the general formula II.

The third definition for R³ is independent from the other 2 definitions, and therefore, R³ in definition 3 cannot be "is additionally".

Claim 19

line 2, "the starting compound" lacks antecedent basis.

It is suggested that the words "the starting compound" be amended to the words

-- the compound of the general formula V --. See claim 15, line 1.

Claim 20

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "anions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M⁺A⁻) would have only one anion (A⁻) in the salt.

Page 7

Claim 21

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "anions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M⁺A⁻) would have only one anion (A⁻) in the salt.

Claim 22

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "cations" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M⁺A⁻) would have only one cation (M⁺) in the salt.

Claim 23

line 2, it is unclear how there can be "metal ions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M⁺A⁻) would have only one metal ion (M⁺) in the salt.

Claim 24

lines 1-2, it appears that "a halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte" is further limiting the auxiliary electrolyte recited in claim 15, lines 3-4. However, it is unclear if it

is.

If it is, then it is suggested that the words "wherein the electrolysis liquid contains" be amended to the words -- wherein the auxiliary electrolyte is --.

Claim 25

line 5, it appears that "a halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte" is further limiting the auxiliary electrolyte recited in claim 15, lines 3-4. However, it is unclear if it is.

If it is not, then what is the difference between the auxiliary electrolyte and the halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte?

line 7, "the desired products" lack antecedent basis.

It is suggested that the word "products" be amended to the word -- compound --.

See claim 15, line 1.

Claim 26

line 2, "the starting compound(s)" (plural) lack antecedent basis.

line 2, "the starting compound(s)" lack antecedent basis:

It is suggested that the words "the starting compound" be amended to the words -- the compound of the general formula V --. See claim 15, line 1.

lines 2-3, it is unclear what are the product(s) of the general formula I and V. Formula I is the compound prepared and formula V is the compound subjected to an electrochemical reaction.

line 3, it is unclear what are "the abovementioned compound(s)".

Claim 32

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "anions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., $M^{\dagger}A^{-}$) would have only one anion (A^{-}) in the salt.

Claim 33

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "anions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., $M^{\dagger}A^{-}$) would have only one anion (A $^{-}$) in the salt.

Claim 34

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "cations" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M⁺A⁻) would have only one cation (M⁺) in the salt.

Claim 36

lines 1-2, it appears that "a halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte" is further limiting the auxiliary electrolyte recited in claim 29, lines 4-5. However, it is unclear if it

Art Unit: 1753

is.

If it is, then it is suggested that the words "wherein the electrolysis liquid contains" be amended to the words -- wherein the auxiliary electrolyte is --.

Claim 37

line 7, "the desired products" lack antecedent basis.

It is suggested that the word "products" be amended to the word -- compound --.

See claim 29, line 1.

line 9, "formulae ... V" lacks antecedent basis.

lines 8-9, it is unclear what are the by-products of electrolysis which are derived from the compounds of general formulae III and V. Formula III is the compound prepared and formula V doesn't exist.

Claim 38

line 2, "the starting compound(s)" (plural) lack antecedent basis.

line 2, "the starting compound(s)" lack antecedent basis.

It is suggested that the words "the starting compound" be amended to the words -- the compound of the general formula Va --. See claim 29, line 3.

lines 2-3, it is unclear what are the product(s) of the general formula III and V. Formula III is the compound prepared and formula V does not exist.

line 3, it is unclear what are "the abovementioned compound(s)".

Claim 42

line 7, "formula II" is missing in the claim.

Claim 44

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "anions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M^+A^-) would have only one anion (A $^-$) in the salt.

Claim 45

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "anions" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M^+A^-) would have only one anion (A^-) in the salt.

Claim 46

lines 1-2, it is unclear how there can be "cations" in the metal salt. It appears that the metal salt (e.g., M^+A^-) would have only one cation (M^+) in the salt.

Claim 48

lines 1-2, it appears that "a halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte" is further limiting the auxiliary electrolyte recited in claim 41, lines 4-5. However, it is unclear if it is.

If it is, then it is suggested that the words "wherein the electrolysis liquid contains" be amended to the words -- wherein the auxiliary electrolyte is --.

Claim 49

line 5, it appears that "a halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte" is further limiting the auxiliary electrolyte recited in claim 41, lines 4-5. However, it is unclear if it is.

If it is not, then what is the difference between the auxiliary electrolyte and the halogen-containing auxiliary electrolyte?

line 7, "the desired products" lack antecedent basis.

It is suggested that the word "products" be amended to the word -- compound --.

See claim 41, line 1.

lines 8-9, it is unclear what are the by-products of electrolysis which are derived from the compounds of general formulae IV and Vb. Formula IV is the compound prepared and formula Vb is the compound subjected to an electrochemical reaction.

Claim 50

line 2, "the starting compound(s)" (plural) lack antecedent basis.

line 2, "the starting compound(s)" lack antecedent basis.

It is suggested that the words "the starting compound" be amended to the words -- the compound of the general formula Vb --. See claim 41, line 3.

lines 2-3, it is unclear what are the product(s) of the general formula IV and Vb. Formula III is the compound prepared and formula Vb is the compound subjected to an electrochemical reaction.

line 3, it is unclear what are "the above mentioned compound(s)".

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 15-28 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for the preparation of a compound of the general formula I comprising the step of subjecting as presently claimed, esp., wherein catalytic amounts of at least one metal salt (S) are derived from a metal from sub-groups lb, llb, Vlb and VIIIb of the periodic chart or from lead, tin or rhenium.

Art Unit: 1753

Claims **29-40** define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for preparing a compound of the general formula III comprising the step of subjecting as presently claimed, esp., wherein a catalytic amount of a metal salt (S) is derived from a metal from sub-groups lb, Ilb, Vlb and VIIIb of the periodic chart or from lead, tin or rhenium.

Claims **41 and 44-52** define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for preparing a compound of the general formula IV comprising the step of subjecting as presently claimed, esp., wherein a catalytic amount of at least one metal salt (S) is derived from a metal sub-groups lb, Ilb, Vlb and VIIIb of the periodic chart or from lead, tin or rhenium.

Claims **42** and **43** define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for the preparation of a compound of the general formula IVa comprising the step of subjecting as presently claimed, esp., wherein a catalytic amount of at least one metal salt (S) is derived from a metal sub-groups lb, Ilb, VIb and VIIIb of the periodic chart or from lead, tin or rhenium.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above. Shono et al. teaches potassium iodide (KI). Potassium is a member of Group I (the alkali metal group) and not Group IB. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims 23, 25, 35, 27, 41, 42, 47 and 49 would be allowable if rewritten or

Art Unit: 1753

amended to overcome the claim rejection(s) set forth in this Office action.

Claims 15-28 and 41-52 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 15-28, 32-34, 36-38, 42-46 and 48-50 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 5:00 pm, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Page 16

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW December 11, 2003